IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1320 OF 2006

Rameshchandra G. Sukhani..Petitioner

Vs.

Slum Rehabilitation Authority

& Ors. ....Respondents

Ms. Betty D'Souza i/b. M/s.M.P. Vashi & Associates for the petitioner
Mr. Abhijeet Wagh i/b. M/s.Utangale & Co., for the respondent No.1
Mr. Tushar Bhavsar i/b. Manojk Bhatt for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
Mr. Milind More, AGP for Respondent No.4.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATE: 27TH NOVEMBER,2006

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. The petitioner's name has been included in the Annexure -II, but for residential purpose. The grievance of the petitioner is that the premises are commercial premises and as such the petitioner ought to have been entitled to be granted a commercial premises.

3. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the inclusion of his name in Annexure II as residential, it will be open to the petitioner to prefer an Appeal before the Appropriate Authority (SRA) and raise

whatever grievance the petitioner may have. Considering that the petitioner has an alternative remedy this would not be a fit case for this Court to exercise its extra ordinary jurisdiction. Hence Writ Petition rejected.

(**F.I. REBELLO, J)**

(**ANOOP V. MOHTA J).**